

Empirical Oral Presentation

Presentation Title:		Type: (circle)	Undergraduate Graduate
Presenters:		Session:	
Institution:		Time:	

Please use the following scale for each question:

Inadequate	Marginal	Acceptable	Good	Superior
1	2	3	4	5

Criteria	Score	Comments
Background		
<i>1. <u>Literature review</u>: Theory and research is clearly presented, describing important studies in the field.</i>		
<i>2. <u>Purpose/Significance</u>: Purpose of the study is clearly stated and related to the literature review.</i>		
<i>3. <u>Hypothesis</u>: Hypothesis is clear, measurable, and stems from the literature review.</i>		
Methodology		
<i>4. <u>Research Design</u>: Methodology is appropriate for the hypothesis.</i>		
<i>5. <u>Sample</u>: Participants are clearly described with relevant descriptive statistics.</i>		
<i>6. <u>Procedures and materials</u>: Procedure and materials are described in enough detail to replicate the study.</i>		

Please use the same scale for the following questions:

Inadequate	Marginal	Acceptable	Good	Superior
1	2	3	4	5

Criteria	Score	Comments
Results/Discussion		
7. <u>Statistics</u> : Statistics are appropriate and clearly explained.		
8. <u>Explanation of Results</u> : Conclusions provide an explanation of the results.		
9. <u>Limitations</u> : All important study limitations are noted.		
10. <u>Implications</u> : Relevant ideas for future research are presented, or applications of the study results are noted.		
Presentation		
11. <u>APA Style</u> : APA style is used for citing references, presenting statistics, etc.		
12. <u>Visual Aids</u> : Charts, graphs, pictures, and other visual aids are relevant and understandable.		
13. <u>Readability/Density</u> : Presentation was readable, organized, with an appropriate amount of detail.		
14. <u>Ability to answer questions</u> : Presenter provided clear and adequate explanations.		
Total Score	<hr/> Out of 70	Other Comments (list below):